arXiv:hep-ph/0411207v2 12 Jul 2005

Phenomenological approach to the critical dynamics of the QCD phase transition revisited

Tomoi Koide‡

Institute für Theoretische Physik, J. W. Goethe-Universität, D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

E-mail: `koide@th.physik.uni-frankfurt.de,koide@fma.if.usp.br`

Abstract. The phenomenological dynamics of the QCD critical phenomena is revisited. Recently, Son and Stephanov claimed that the dynamical universality class of the QCD phase transition belongs to model H. In their discussion, they employed a time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation for the net baryon number density, which is a conserved quantity. We derive the Langevin equation for the net baryon number density, i.e., the Cahn-Hilliard equation. Furthermore, they discussed the mode coupling induced through the *irreversible* current. Here, we show the *reversible* coupling can play a dominant role for describing the QCD critical dynamics and that the dynamical universality class does not necessarily belong to model H.

Submitted to: *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys.*

PACS numbers: 05.10.Gg,11.10.Wx,11.30.Rd

---

‡ Present Address:Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, C.P.66318, 05315-970, Sao Paulo-SP, Brazil

1. Introduction

Dynamics near the critical point can be classified depending on gross variables. For example, if the critical dynamics is described by a conserved order parameter, the dynamical universality class belongs to model B in the classification scheme of Hohenberg and Halperin[1]. If it is described by a one-component conserved order parameter and a three-component conserved quantity, the class belongs to model H. To see the dynamical universality class, it is important to know the gross variables that contribute to the critical dynamics.

Recently, Son and Stephanov discussed the coupled dynamics near the QCD critical point phenomenologically[2]. They concluded that if we ignore the mode coupling to the energy density and the momentum density, the dynamical universality class of the QCD phase transition belongs to model B, and if we take them into account, it is changed to model H. However, there are possibilities that have not been discussed by them. 1) They assumed that the time evolution of the gross variables (the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density) is proportional to thermodynamic forces defined by the derivatives of a Ginzburg-Landau free energy in terms of the gross variables. This approach will be adequate for deriving equations for non-conserved quantities, for example, the chiral order parameter. Then, we obtain the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation. However, for conserved quantities like the net baryon number density, we should adopt the Cahn-Hilliard (CH) equation instead of the TDGL equation [3]. 2) To describe the critical dynamics of the QCD phase transition correctly, we should take into account the coupling of the chiral condensate and other gross variables, i.e., the net baryon number density, the energy density and so on. Then, it is known that there exist two channels that give rise to the mode coupling. One is the irreversible coupling where the mode coupling is induced through irreversible currents, and the other is the reversible coupling induced through reversible currents [1, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The currents defined by thermodynamic forces are irreversible currents. Thus, Son and Stephanov discussed only the former possibility. However, it is known that the important mode couplings are usually caused by not the irreversible currents but the reversible currents, for instance, the liquid-gas phase transition, the superfluid transition of liquid helium and so on.

In this paper, we have two purposes. First, we derive a phenomenological equation for the net baryon number density by using the CH equation. Then, the derive equation is different from that of [2]. Second, we show how the reversible current can affect the relaxation behavior of the chiral condensate.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive the phenomenological equations of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density. In Section 3, we discuss the irreversible coupling of the chiral condensate and the baryon number density. We derive the reversible coupling in the projection operator method in Section 4. Then, we found that the chiral condensate is coupled to the chiral current. In Section 5, we show that the reversible coupling can change the critical dynamics. Summary and conclusions are given in Section 6.

2. Phenomenological equations based on the TDGL and CH equations

We adopt the same Ginzburg-Landau free energy f as used by Son and Stephanov[2],

$$F[\sigma, n] = \int d^3\mathbf{x}[\frac{a}{2}(\partial_i\sigma)^2 + b\partial_i\sigma\partial_i n + \frac{c}{2}(\partial_i n)^2 + V(\sigma, n)], \tag{1}$$

where σ and n denote the fluctuations of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density, that is, $\sigma = \bar{q}q - \langle\bar{q}q\rangle_{eq}$ and $n = \bar{q}\gamma^0 q - \langle\bar{q}\gamma^0 q\rangle_{eq}$, respectively. The potential term $V(\sigma, n)$ is given by

$$V(\sigma, n) = \frac{A}{2}\sigma^2 + B\sigma n + \frac{C}{2}n^2 + \text{higher order terms}. \tag{2}$$

The parameters A, B, and C satisfy the relation $AC = B^2$ at the critical point.

The thermodynamic forces are defined in the following way. Usually, for this purpose, we should derive the Gibbs-Duhem relation including the chiral condensate and calculate the entropy production. On the other hand, the thermodynamic forces are assumed to be defined by the derivatives of the free energy in terms of σ and n in [2]. In this paper, we assume that the phenomenological equations of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density are given by the TDGL equation and the CH equation, respectively. From this assumption, we define the thermodynamic forces.

First of all, we ignore the mixing between the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density. The chiral condensate is a non-conserved quantity. Then, the phenomenological equation of the chiral condensate is given by the the TDGL equation with noise,

$$\dot{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = -J_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) + \xi_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = -\gamma_{ss}\frac{\delta F}{\delta\sigma} + \xi_\sigma(\mathbf{x}), \tag{3}$$

where γ_{ss} denotes the Onsager coefficient associated with $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$. The last term $\xi_\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ represents the noise term of the chiral condensate. We do not give the concrete expression of the noise term because the following discussions are not affected by the noise. On the other hand, the net baryon number density is a conserved quantity. Then, the equation of the net baryon number is given by the CH equation with noise,

$$\dot{n}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\mathbf{J}_n(\mathbf{x}) + \xi_n(\mathbf{x}) = -\gamma_{nn}\nabla^2\frac{\delta F}{\delta n} + \xi_n(\mathbf{x}), \tag{4}$$

where γ_{nn} denotes the Onsager coefficient associated with $n(\mathbf{x})$ and $\xi_n(\mathbf{x})$ represents the noise term.

Then, the currents of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density are defined by

$$J_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{ss}\frac{\delta F}{\delta\sigma}, \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{nn}\nabla\frac{\delta F}{\delta n}, \tag{6}$$

respectively. These currents are irreversible because the time reversal symmetry is broken. In nonequilibrium thermodynamics, irreversible currents are proportional to thermodynamic forces. Thus, the two thermodynamic forces are introduced by

$$X_\sigma = \frac{\delta F}{\delta \sigma} = (A - a\nabla^2)\sigma + (B - b\nabla^2)n, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_n = \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta n} = \nabla\{(B - b\nabla^2)\sigma + (C - c\nabla^2)n\}. \tag{8}$$

It should be noted that the thermodynamic force $\mathbf{X}_n$ defined here is vector, although the scalar thermodynamic force is introduced in [2].

Next, we will discuss the mixing of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density. The general irreversible current is given by the linear combination of the thermodynamic forces. By using the two thermodynamic forces defined above, thus, the irreversible currents including the mixing of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density are given by

$$J_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{ss} X_\sigma + \gamma_{sn} \mathbf{X}_n, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{nn} \mathbf{X}_n + \gamma_{ns} X_\sigma. \tag{10}$$

The irreversible current of the chiral condensate (9) is scalar and hence the Onsager coefficient γ_{sn} must be vector. Similarly, the irreversible current (10) is vector and hence the Onsager coefficient γ_{ns} must be vector. Then, by using the Onsager's reciprocal theorem, we assume

$$\gamma_{sn} = \gamma_{ns} = \alpha \nabla. \tag{11}$$

Then, the off-diagonal Onsager coefficients have the q-dependences as is discussed in [2].

However, in this paper, we adopt, what we call, the Curie principle (symmetry principle), where, for instance, it is forbidden that vector currents are induced by scalar thermodynamic forces [7, 8, 9]. For instance, the thermal conduction current is not induced by chemical reactions because of the Curie principle. In our case, the irreversible current of the net baryon number density is not induced by X_σ. Accordingly, because of the Onsager's reciprocal theorem, the off-diagonal Onsager coefficients γ_{ns} and γ_{sn} vanish. Finally, the phenomenological equations are given by

$$\dot{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = -\gamma_{ss}[(A - a\nabla^2)\sigma(\mathbf{x}) + (B - b\nabla^2)n(\mathbf{x})] + \xi_\sigma(\mathbf{x}), \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{n}(\mathbf{x}) = -\gamma_{nn}\nabla^2[(B - b\nabla^2)\sigma(\mathbf{x}) + (C - c\nabla^2)n(\mathbf{x})] + \xi_n(\mathbf{x}). \tag{13}$$

Because of the definition of the Ginzburg-Landau free energy, the equations still contain the cross terms that give rise to the mode coupling between the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density.

It should be noted that if we ignore the coupling between σ and n, it is easy to solve the linear equation and we can see that the relaxation time of the chiral condensate at the vanishing momentum is given by $(\gamma_{ss}A)^{-1}$. This result is consistent with the result of the van Hove theory[10]. If the van Hove theory is exact, the dynamical critical exponent is completely decided by the temperature dependence of A, and hence takes the same value as the static critical exponent. However, the experimental data shows the deviation from the static critical exponent. The deviation comes from the couplings between the order parameter and other gross variables, as we will see below.

3. Irreversible coupling in the QCD phase transition

In this section, we discuss the mode coupling induced by the irreversible current.

After Fourier transformation, the Langevin equations in the limit of $\mathbf{q} \to 0$ are given by

$$\dot{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}) = (-\gamma_{ss}A - \gamma_{ss}aq^2)\sigma(\mathbf{q}) + (-\gamma_{ss}B - \gamma_{ss}bq^2)n(\mathbf{q}) + \xi_\sigma(\mathbf{q}), \tag{14}$$

$$\dot{n}(\mathbf{q}) = \gamma_{nn}Bq^2\sigma(\mathbf{q}) + \gamma_{nn}Cq^2 n(\mathbf{q}) + \xi_n(\mathbf{q}). \tag{15}$$

Here, we ignored the terms proportional to q^4.

Following the discussion of Son and Stephanov, we calculate the eigenmodes of the coupled equation. The eigenmodes are calculated by solving the equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} -\gamma_{ss}A - \gamma_{ss}aq^2 + i\omega & -\gamma_{ss}B - \gamma_{ss}bq^2 \\ \gamma_{nn}Bq^2 & \gamma_{nn}Cq^2 + i\omega \end{vmatrix} = 0. \tag{16}$$

Up to second order in $\mathbf{q}$, we obtain the following two eigenmodes,

$$\omega_1 = -i\frac{\gamma_{nn}(AC - B^2)}{A}q^2, \tag{17}$$

$$\omega_2 = -i\gamma_{ss}A + i(\gamma_{nn}C - \gamma_{ss}a)q^2 + i\frac{\gamma_{nn}(AB - C^2)}{A}q^2. \tag{18}$$

The corresponding eigenstates are

$$\begin{pmatrix} B + O(q^2) \\ -A + O(q^2) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 + O(q^2) \\ O(q^2) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

respectively.

Afterward, Son and Stephanov developed the argument that the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density are not independent variables near the critical point and their linear combination gives one gross variable as is the case with the liquid-gas phase transition.

However, if we take into account the possibility of the critical slowing down, the conclusion can be changed. From the results of the eigenmodes and eigenstates, one can easily write down the solution of Eqs. (14) and (15) at low $\mathbf{q}$,

$$\ll \sigma(\mathbf{q}, t) \gg \; = e^{-i\omega_2 t}\sigma(\mathbf{q}), \tag{20}$$

$$\ll n(\mathbf{q}, t) \gg \; = e^{-i\omega_1 t}\left(n(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{B}{A}\sigma(\mathbf{q})\right) + \frac{B}{A}e^{-i\omega_2 t}\sigma(\mathbf{q}), \tag{21}$$

where $\ll \; \gg$ denotes the expectation value for the noise with a suitable Gaussian weight function. It should be noted that there is a constraint for the initial state: $n(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, because $n(\mathbf{q})$ is the fluctuations. To represent dissipation, $\gamma_{ss}A$ and $\gamma_{nn}(AB - C^2)/A$ must be positive. Furthermore, $\gamma_{ss}A$ becomes smaller as the temperature approaches the critical temperature because of the critical slowing down[15, 16, 17]. Thus, it is not obvious whether we can conclude $i\omega_2$ is much larger than $i\omega_1$ near the critical point. Then, the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density do not have a clear separation of time scales and cannot be reduced into one gross variable.

4. Reversible couplings in the QCD phase transition

In the previous section, we derive the irreversible currents of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density in the framework of nonequilibrium thermodynamics. However, in general, the time-evolutions of hydrodynamic variables are dominated by not only irreversible currents but also reversible currents. The former yields dissipation and entropy is increased, and the latter yields macroscopic motion as in some cases of convection and entropy is not changed. This structure is general and does not depend on whether the variable is conserved or not. Thus, there can be other mode couplings caused by the reversible currents that have been ignored so far. As a matter of fact, it is known that the reversible coupling plays a dominant role in the critical dynamics: the most important mode couplings are ordinarily caused by not irreversible currents but reversible currents [1, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In this section, we derive the reversible currents that can affect the critical dynamics.

First, we consider the net baryon number density. The equation of continuity of the net baryon number density is

$$\dot{n}(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \mathbf{J}_{re}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \tag{22}$$

where the net baryon number current is given by

$$\mathbf{J}_{re} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^i\psi - \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma^i\psi \rangle_{eq}. \tag{23}$$

Thus, the net baryon number density can be coupled to the net baryon number current. In short, the Langevin equation for the net baryon number density including the reversible current is given by

$$\dot{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \; - \nabla \mathbf{J}_{re}(\mathbf{x}) - \gamma_{nn} \nabla \mathbf{X}_n(\mathbf{x}) + \xi_n(\mathbf{x}). \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, the chiral condensate is not a conserved quantity, and hence we cannot use the equation of continuity. However, this does not mean that there does not exist the reversible current. The typical example is the critical dynamics of liquid helium[1, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In this case, we should solve the coupled equation of the order parameter, the entropy density and the superfluid velocity. The order parameter and the entropy density are non-conserved quantities, but the phenomenological equations contain the reversible currents, one of which is proportional to the superfluid velocity. Here, we derive the reversible currents coupled to the chiral condensate by using the projection operator method[11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18].

In the projection operator method, the equation of motion of an operator $A(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}A(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x}' d^3\mathbf{x}'' (iLA(\mathbf{x}), A^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')) \cdot (A(\mathbf{x}'), A^\dagger(\mathbf{x}''))^{-1} \cdot A(\mathbf{x}'', t)$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^{t} ds \int d^3\mathbf{x}' d^3\mathbf{x}'' (f(\mathbf{x}, s), f^\dagger(\mathbf{x}', 0)) \cdot (A(\mathbf{x}'), A^\dagger(\mathbf{x}''))^{-1} \cdot A(\mathbf{x}'', t - s)$$
$$+ f(\mathbf{x}, t), \tag{25}$$

where the Liouville operator L is defined by $L\ O = [H, O]$ with the Hamiltonian H §. The Hamiltonian introduced here is a microscopic Hamiltonian like the QCD Hamiltonian. However, we do not specify it because the Hamiltonian is irrelevant to define the reversible currents, as we will see later. Here, the first term on the r.h.s. is called the streaming term, the second is the memory term and the third is the noise term defined by $f(\mathbf{x}, t) = Qe^{iLQ(t-t_0)}iLA(\mathbf{x}, t_0)$. The Kubo's canonical correlation is defined by

$$(F,\ G) = \int_0^\beta \frac{d\lambda}{\beta} \mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{Z} [e^{-\beta H} e^{-\lambda H} F e^{\lambda H} G], \tag{26}$$

where F and G are arbitrary operators, and $Z = \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta H}]$.

The streaming term represents the contribution from reversible currents. Thus, in order to find the reversible currents coupled to the chiral condensate, we should find an operator O that satisfies the following condition,

$$(iL\sigma(\mathbf{x}), O(\mathbf{x}')) = (\frac{d}{dt}\sigma(\mathbf{x}), O(\mathbf{x}')) = \mathrm{Tr}[\rho[\sigma(\mathbf{x}), O(\mathbf{x}')]] \neq 0. \tag{27}$$

First, we assume $O = \bar{\psi}\Gamma\psi$. Then, $\Gamma = \gamma^i$ and $\gamma^0\gamma^i$ can satisfy this condition, because $[\sigma(\mathbf{x}), O(\mathbf{x}')] \neq 0$ (This condition corresponds to the non-vanishing Poisson bracket in [1]). However, the net baryon number current that is given by $\Gamma = \gamma^i$ is the reversible current for the net baryon number density. As a matter of fact, it is easy to calculate the expectation value in the free gas approximation. Then, one can see that Eq. (27) vanishes for $\Gamma = \gamma^i$. It follows that the net baryon number current is not directly coupled to the chiral condensate. Thus, the reversible current of the chiral condensate can be

$$\mathbf{J}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^0\gamma^i\psi(\mathbf{x}) - \langle\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^0\gamma^i\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle_{eq}. \tag{28}$$

We are particularly interested in the coupling of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number current, because, as is discussed in [17], the net baryon number density is a promising gross variable with large time scale. However, if we put $\Gamma = \gamma^0$, one can show that $[\sigma(\mathbf{x}), n(\mathbf{x}')] = 0$. Thus, the net baryon number density is not coupled to the chiral condensate directly. Now, we consider nonlinear couplings and assume $O = (\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi)(\bar{\psi}\Gamma'\psi)$. In order to satisfy the condition $[\sigma(\mathbf{x}), O(\mathbf{x}')] \neq 0$, we should choose, for example, $\Gamma' = \gamma^0\gamma^i$.

Finally, the Langevin equation for the chiral condensate including the reversible current is given by

$$\dot{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = -R\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) - T\nabla\cdot(n(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{J}_\sigma(\mathbf{x})) - \gamma_{ss}\mathbf{X}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) + \xi_\sigma(\mathbf{x}). \tag{29}$$

---

§ Of course, we can apply the projection operator method to classical field theory. Then, the Liouville operator is replaced by the Poisson bracket. However, for simplicity, we treat all gross variables as operators in the definition of the reversible currents, and we replace them with the corresponding classical variables at the last of the calculation.

It should be noted that this definition of the reversible coupling is only the necessary condition, because there is a possibility that the coefficients of reversible currents R and T vanish after calculating the expectation value in Eq. (27). The coefficients depend on the choice of the microscopic Hamiltonian H and hence microscopic calculations are needed. However, in our phenomenological discussion, we leave them as unknown parameters. After all, to describe the critical dynamics near the chiral phase transition including the reversible couplings, we should solve the coupled equation of σ, n, $\mathbf{J}_n$ and $\mathbf{J}_\sigma$.

5. Analysis based on the mode coupling theory

In this section, we show that the reversible coupling derived above can affect the relaxation of the chiral condensate and change the dynamical universality class of QCD.

First, we make the following ansatz for the chiral current;

$$\mathbf{J}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}). \tag{30}$$

We further assume that the reversible flow of the chiral condensate are incompressible,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_\sigma = 0. \tag{31}$$

Then, the Langevin equation for the chiral condensate is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = &- R(\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla)\sigma(\mathbf{x}) - T(\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla)(n(\mathbf{x})\sigma(\mathbf{x})) \\ &- \gamma_{ss} X_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) + \xi_\sigma(\mathbf{x}).\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The second term on r.h.s. gives rise to the coupling of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density. However, this is a higher order nonlinear term and we drop it for simplicity.

To estimate the effect of the nonlinear reversible coupling, we apply the technique developed in the mode coupling theory, where the nonlinear effect is calculated perturbatively[4, 5, 6] ‖. The nonperturbative solution is calculated by setting $R = 0$,

$$\sigma^0(\mathbf{q}, t) = e^{-i\omega_2 t}\sigma(\mathbf{q}) + \int_0^t ds e^{-i\omega_2(t-s)}\xi_\sigma(\mathbf{q}, s). \tag{33}$$

We further introduce the time correlation function of the chiral condensate for $t \geq t' \geq 0$,

$$G_{\mathbf{q}}(t - t') \equiv \langle \sigma(\mathbf{q}, t)\sigma(-\mathbf{q}, t')\rangle/\chi_{\mathbf{q}}, \tag{34}$$

where $\chi_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle \sigma(\mathbf{q})\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle$, and $\langle\ \rangle$ denotes the expectation value for an initial state including the weight function of the noise. When we ignore the nonlinear term, the correlation function is

$$G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t - t') \equiv \langle \sigma^0(\mathbf{q}, t)\sigma^0(-\mathbf{q}, t')\rangle/\chi_{\mathbf{q}} = e^{-i\omega_2(t-t')}. \tag{35}$$

With the help of the nonperturbative solution, the solution of Eq. (32) is given by

$$\sigma(\mathbf{q}, t) = \sigma^0(\mathbf{q}, t) - R\int_0^t ds \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\omega_2(t-s)}(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}, s))\sigma(\mathbf{k}, s), \tag{36}$$

‖ The mode coupling theory in the chiral phase transition is discussed also in [19]

Then, the time correlation function is developed by the following equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt}G_{\mathbf{q}}(t) = -i\omega_2 G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t) - \frac{R}{\chi_{\mathbf{q}}V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))\sigma(\mathbf{k},t)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle. \tag{37}$$

Substituting Eq. (36) into the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (37) and expanding in powers of the R up to second order, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}G_{\mathbf{q}}(t) = & -i\omega_2 G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t) - \frac{R}{\chi_{\mathbf{q}}V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))\sigma^0(\mathbf{k},t)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle \\ & + \frac{R^2}{\chi_{\mathbf{q}}V^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\int_0^t ds e^{-i\omega_2(t-s)}\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))(i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',s))\sigma^0(\mathbf{k}',s)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

We further apply the decoupling approximation as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))\sigma^0(\mathbf{k},t)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle \\ &\quad\approx \langle(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{0},t))\rangle\langle\sigma^0(\mathbf{q},t)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle\delta^{(3)}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}, \qquad (39)\\ &\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))(i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',s))\sigma^0(\mathbf{k}',s)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle \\ &\quad\approx \langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},s))\rangle\langle\sigma^0(\mathbf{q},t)\sigma(-\mathbf{q})\rangle\delta^{(3)}_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}}. \qquad (40)\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}G_{\mathbf{q}}(t) = & -i\omega_2 G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t) - \frac{R}{V}\langle(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{0},t))\rangle G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t) \\ & + \int_0^t ds\frac{R^2}{V^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t-s)\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},s))\rangle G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(s).\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

This equation includes the reversible coupling up to second order. To resum the second order correction, we replace one $G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ in each term with the full time correlation function $G_{\mathbf{q}}(t)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}G_{\mathbf{q}}(t) = -i\omega_2 G_{\mathbf{q}}(t) - \frac{R}{V}\langle(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{0},t))\rangle G_{\mathbf{q}}(t) + R^2\int_0^t ds\Xi_{\mathbf{q}}(t,s)G_{\mathbf{q}}(s), \tag{42}$$

where we introduced the memory function $\Xi_{\mathbf{q}}(t,s)$,

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{q}}(t,s) = \frac{1}{V^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},s))\rangle G^0_{\mathbf{q}}(t-s). \tag{43}$$

This resummation corresponds to the ring-diagram approximation[4, 5, 6]. If we can employ the Markov approximation, that is, the memory function relaxes rapidly and vanishes at late time, the time dependence of the memory function is approximately replaced by the delta function,

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{q}}(t,s) \approx 2\Xi_{\mathbf{q}}\delta(t-s), \tag{44}$$

with

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{q}} = \lim_{t\to\infty}\int_0^t ds\Xi_{\mathbf{q}}(t,s). \tag{45}$$

We further assume a simple diffusion equation for the dynamics of the velocity field and ignore the reversible coupling[3],

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nu\nabla^2\right)\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{x},t) = 0. \tag{46}$$

Then, the correlation function of the velocity field is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\langle(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},t))(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}^*_\sigma(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k},s))\rangle &= -\frac{q^2}{3}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\langle\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k},t)\cdot\mathbf{v}^*_\sigma(\mathbf{k},s)\rangle \\ &= -\frac{q^2}{3}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}e^{-\nu\mathbf{k}^2(t-s)}\langle\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{v}^*_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Here, the initial correlation of the velocity is assumed to be Gaussian. Thus, we have

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{q^2}{3V^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{\langle\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{v}^*_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\rangle}{-\nu\mathbf{k}^2 - i\omega_2}. \tag{48}$$

Finally, we obtain the renormalized damping rate of the chiral condensate,

$$L^R = i\omega_2 + \frac{R}{V}\langle(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{0},t))\rangle + \frac{R^2q^2}{3V^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{\langle\mathbf{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{v}^*_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\rangle}{-\nu\mathbf{k}^2 - i\omega_2}. \tag{49}$$

Because of the critical slowing down[15, 16, 17], $i\omega_2$ becomes smaller and vanishes as the temperature approaches the critical temperature ¶. If the velocity field also becomes slower as the temperature is lower to the critical temperature, and hence the diffusion constant ν vanishes at the critical point as is the case with diffusion constants in spin diffusion processes and binary fluid mixtures[3], the third term on the r.h.s. becomes larger than the first term, where the effect of the irreversible coupling is included. This is the reason why the reversible coupling can be more important than the irreversible coupling and the latter is ignored in various cases +. Then, the third term plays an important role in the critical dynamics of the chiral phase transition. This suggests that there is the possibility that the critical dynamics is changed by introducing reversible currents.

6. Summary and concluding discussions

We have discussed the phenomenological equations to describe the critical dynamics of the QCD phase transition.

First of all, we derived the Langevin equations without the reversible couplings. We adopted the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation for the time evolution of the chiral condensate and the Cahn-Hilliard equation for that of the net baryon number density. However, because of the Curie principle, the off-diagonal Onsager coefficients vanish.

¶ This disappearance occurs in the chiral limit. When we have finite current quark masses, $i\omega_2$ can still be small but finite even at the critical temperature.

+ Of course, we cannot say anything about the nonlinear irreversible coupling in this discussion.

Next, we discussed the reversible coupling in the QCD critical dynamics. The chiral condensate is coupled not only to the net baryon number density but also to the chiral current through the reversible coupling. The reversible coupling plays an important role for the relaxation of the chiral condensate, because of the critical slowing down and the decreasing diffusion constant at the critical points. Then, the effect of the irreversible coupling is small[1, 3, 4, 5, 6].

It follows that the dynamical universality class can be changed from model H discussed by Son and Stephanov. They claimed that, if we can ignore the coupling to the energy density and the momentum density, the universality class belongs to model B, and if we take them into account, it is changed to model H.

In this study, we found that their discussion can be changed as follows: 1) Son and Stephanov concluded that the linear combination of the chiral condensate and the net baryon number density plays the role of an order parameter as in the case of the liquid-gas phase transition. However, if we take the possibility of the critical slowing down into account, the appearance of the reduction of the gross variables is not clear. 2) The chiral condensate can be coupled to reversible currents, i.e. the chiral current and this coupling can change the dynamical universality class.

If we take the reversible coupling of the chiral current into account, we must solve the coupled equation for the chiral condensate and the chiral current. The chiral condensate is not conserved and the chiral current is assumed to obey the diffusion equation. This situation is similar to the isotropic antiferromagnet, where the dynamical universality class belongs to model G. In the isotropic antiferromagnet, the order parameter is the three-component non-conserved vector density. The order parameter is coupled to a three-component conserved vector density that obeys a diffusion equation. In the chiral phase transition, the order parameter has four component in general, i.e., σ and π^i. Therefore, we can conclude that the dynamical universality class is similar to model G, noting, however, that the dimension of the order parameter is not three but four.

In this calculation, we ignored the reversible coupling to the net baryon number density, the net baryon number density current and so on [*]. When we take them into account, the dynamical universality class can be changed again. Now, we have five gross variables that possibly couple to the chiral condensate; the chiral current, the net baryon number density, the net baryon number current, the energy density, and the momentum density. The several gross variables may not be independent as is the case with the liquid-gas phase transition. To discuss the possibility, we need a microscopic calculation as is done in [16, 17, 18].

The criteria for choosing gross variables to describe the QCD critical dynamics are discussed in [2, 20] and the criteria do not allow reversible currents as gross variables. However, there is no general criteria to choose the complete set of gross variables[4, 5, 6].

[*] The importance of conjugate fields as the candidates of gross variables near the chiral phase transition is discussed in [19]. Furthermore, it is known that if we ignore the conjugate field, it sometimes happen that we cannot separate slow and fast time scales[16, 17].

In this paper, we have assumed that the chiral current is a gross variable. Of course, to see whether the reversible current is slow enough to be interpreted as a gross variable, we should calculate the time correlation function of the reversible current that characterizes the time scale of the reversible current[17]. However, it will be natural to expect that the coarse-grained equation of the chiral condensate has the contributions of the reversible currents, because the transfer of the fluctuations of the chiral condensate should be induced by the macroscopic flows of quarks and gluons, even when the flows do not produce entropy. As a matter of fact, the fluctuations vary even in thermal equilibrium.

We derived the Langevin equation to describe the QCD critical dynamics based on nonequilibrium thermodynamics, where the dissipation process is described by diffusion. However, as is pointed out in many papers, normal diffusion equations do not obey causality; their propagation speed is infinite and beyond the speed of light. To avoid this difficulty, extended irreversible thermodynamics (EIT) has been proposed where diffusion processes have finite relaxation time [21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. Thus, to discuss the QCD critical dynamics, it may be better to use EIT As a matter of fact, the microscopic calculation of the chiral condensate shows dissipation exhibiting oscillation and seems to support extended thermodynamics[16, 17, 18]. Then, the critical dynamics in relativistic systems can be different from the non-relativistic cases ♯[27].

Acknowledgments

The author are grateful to C. Greiner, A. Muronga and D. Rischke for fruitful discussions and helpful comments on the manuscript, and acknowledges a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the financial support from FAPESP(04/09794-0).

References

[1] Hohenberg P C and Halperin B I 1977 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **49** 435.
[2] Son D T and Stephanov M A 2004 *Phys. Rev.* **D70** 056001.
[3] See, for example, Onuki A 2002 *Phase Transition Dynamics*, (Cambridge, UK).
[4] Kawasaki K 1970 *Ann. Phys.* **61** 1.
[5] Kawasaki K, in *Phase transition and Critical Phenomena*, edited by Domb C and Green M S 1976 (Academic press, London).
[6] Kawasaki K and Gunton J D, in *Progress in Liquid Physics*, edited by Croxton C A 1978 (Wiley, New York).
[7] Curie P 1908 *Oeuvres de Pierre Curie*, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris).
[8] Prigogine I 1947 *Etude Thermodynamique des Processus Irreversibles*, (Desoer, Liége).
[9] Prigogine I and Kondepudi D 1999 *THERMODYNAMIQUE Des moteurs thermiques aux structures dissipatives*, (Jacob(Odile), Paris)
[10] van Hove L 1954 *Phys. Rev.* **95** 1374.
[11] Nakajima S 1958 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **20** 948.

♯ Recently, the projection operator method is applied to derive a coarse-grained equation for a conserved quantity. The equation is similar to the causal diffusion equation rather than the acausal diffusion equation[26].

[12] Zwanzig R 1960 *J. Chem. Phys.* **33** 1338.

[13] Mori H 1965 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **33** 423.

[14] Uchiyama C and Shibata F 1999 *Phys. Rev.* **E60** 2636.

[15] Koide T and Maruyama M 2000 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **104** 575.

[16] Koide T and Maruyama M 2003 *Preprint nucl-th/0308025.*

[17] Koide T and Maruyama M 2004 *Nucl. Phys.* **A742** 95.

[18] Koide T 2004 *Preprint hep-ph/0407110.*

[19] Ohnishi K, Fukushima K and Ohta K 2004 *Nucl. Phys.* **A748** 260.

[20] Rajagopal K and Wilczeck F 1993 *Nucl. Phys.* **B399** 395.

[21] Müller I and Ruggeri T 1993 *Extended Thermodynamics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin).

[22] Jou D, Casas-Vázquez J and Leben G 1998 *Rational Extended Thermodynamics* (Springer-Verlag, New York).

[23] deGroot S R, van Leeuwen W A and van Weert Ch. G 1980 *Relativistic Kinetic Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam).

[24] Muronga A 2004 *Phys. Rev.* **C69** 034903.

[25] Abdel Aziz M and Gavin S 2004 *Phys. Rev.* **C70** 034905.

[26] Koide T 2005 *Preprint cond-matt/0501696.*

[27] Koide T, Krein G and Ramos R O, *in preparation.*